

Homework #3.

For this homework, please complete the following exercises, found in the book chapters from Bergmann et al., posted on Scholar.

Also, please complete the exercises IN THE ORDER LISTED HERE

Exercises 2.1
#2b, d, f, h

Exercises 2.1
#1b, d, f, h

NOTE: For exercises 2.1 #1b, d, f, h, the book asks you to first give a “truth functional paraphrase” of the English sentence. Completing such paraphrases *may* help you in getting to the right symbolization in SL. But really, I only care about the end product, the symbolization. So if you find it helpful, feel free to do the truth-functional paraphrases for these exercises...but PLEASE TURN IN ONLY THE SYMBOLIZATIONS.

Exercises 3.5
#3a, b

NOTE: For exercises 3.5 #3a, b, the book says to give a “shortened” truth-table if you want to show that the argument is truth-functionally *invalid* (= non-deductive). Please disregard that, and give a full truth-table for each of these two exercises. But be sure to **explain why** your truth-table shows that the argument is deductive or not.