PHIL 160: Philosophy of Religion (Spring 2023) TR 10:30 to 11:45am in Room 7.246

Instructor: Ted Parent, Ph.D. Office: Room 1.269 Office Hours: TR 12 to 1pm, and by appointment. Email: <u>ted.parent@nu.edu.kz</u> Cell: +7 (776) 828 9725

This course is an introduction to some of the major religious controversies, as discussed by philosophers. After a review of fideism, the course will mostly cover the key arguments for and against God's existence. The final part of the course will be a philosophical examination of Buddhism as a non-theistic religion.

Texts:

Peterson, M. et al. (Eds.), *Philosophy of Religion: Selected Readings*, 4th ed., Oxford UP, 2010. Thich Nhat Hanh. *Essential Writings*, Orbis, 2001. *Additional materials posted on Moodle*.

Course Assignments:

(1) *Participation* is worth 10% of the final grade. Attendance is *not* part of this grade—though obviously, you can't participate if you don't attend. How much should you participate? Well, if you don't participate *at all*, don't expect to get a passing grade for participation! Yet it is possible to earn an 'A' here without talking at every chance. Indeed, *quality matters much more than quantity* and you certainly should avoid dominating the discussion. Also, note that there are a variety of ways to participate, including:

-Visiting office hours or emailing me with questions/comments

- -Sharing videos, websites, other content that are relevant to the course
- -Teaching one of the readings to the class. (Email me first to arrange this.)

-Summarizing a reading in a handout for your classmates. (Email me first.)

- (2) Short essay (couple of paragraphs) due on Jan. 24, worth 15% of the final grade.
- (3) *Two in-class exams* at various points in the semester (see schedule below), each worth 25% of the final grade.
- (4) *Final project* worth 25% of the final grade. Detailed instructions will be given later.

Course Policies:

- > No late assignments accepted, unless you can provide hard evidence of a legit emergency.
- Since philosophy often requires uninterrupted concentration, please do not arrive late and remember to turn off your phone.
- During class, do not use your laptop/phone for anything other than class work. Please resist the temptation to check your email/texts or visit random websites. (If you are buying stuff online, that will distract everyone sitting behind/beside you.)
- If you have special needs because of a disability (psychological or physical), I am very happy to make exceptions to the preceding three policies. But please contact me about such arrangements ASAP.
- Throughout the course, I will simply assume that you are keeping up with the readings. I will not be giving you reminders; you are responsible for doing the reading according to the schedule given below.

- Discussions must proceed in a respectful and well-mannered fashion. <u>Philosophy can be difficult</u>, and no one should be made to feel stupid. Relatedly, taking an aggressive tone is inappropriate. It usually signals that the goal is to "win" a dispute, rather than to co-operate in a mutual endeavor to seek the truth. You will be asked to leave the classroom if you violate these basic norms of courtesy.
- Be forewarned that the majority of my feedback on your work will be critical. But *please* do not take any of my criticisms personally! Loads of critical feedback is simply how academic philosophy operates. And in this class, the purpose of this is *certainly* not to belittle or discourage you, but to hone your writing/thinking skills.
- The Student Code of Conduct is in effect for this class. See <u>https://nu.edu.kz/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/NU-Student-Code-of-Conduct.pdf</u>. Plagiarism is sometimes unintentional—visit the following tutorial from Cornell University to learn how to recognize plagiarism: <u>https://plagiarism.arts.cornell.edu/tutorial/exercises.cfm</u>. You will be held responsible in this class for knowing what constitutes plagiarism. All assignments will be submitted on Moodle and checked by the Turnitin plagiarism-detection program.

Percentage	Letter Grade	G.P.A. scale
95-100	A	4.0
90-94.9	A-	3.67
85-89.9	B+	3.33
80-84.9	В	3.0
75-79.9	B-	2.67

> The grading scale at Nazarbayev University (as set by the Registrar) is as follows:

70-74.9	C+	2.33
65-69.9	С	2.0
60-64.9	C-	1.67
55-59.9	D+	1.33
50-54.9	D	1.0
<50	F	0.0

For helpful descriptions of an 'A' paper, a 'B' paper, a 'C' paper, etc., see the relevant links on the left-hand side of this webpage: <u>https://library.guilford.edu/c.php?g=111810&p=723881</u>.

Reading Advice:

Be sure to PRINT OUT the reading materials. Studies show that people learn better by reading hard copies versus reading a computer screen.¹

Reading philosophy is hard. That's why I recommend reading the weekly material *twice*. BUT: As a first reading, it is sufficient to skim the texts, just to get a sense of the main ideas. <u>*This takes than 5 minutes*</u>!!! After that, read the material again *carefully*. Your earlier skimming should provide a basic "framework" that allows you to process better what you read.

During your second reading, it's best to *read with a pen and note the points of interest*. (This requires hard copies of the readings!) I do NOT recommend mere underlining or highlighting. Rather, it's best to read as if you were *engaged in a conversation* with the author: Raise questions, ask for clarification, and levy criticisms in the margins. This also effectively prepares you for class discussion; also, your annotations will be useful to you for completing the assignments.

¹ See, e.g., Clinton, V. (2019). Reading from paper compared to screens: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Research on Reading* 42(2): 288-325.

Tentative Schedule

^{"P"} indicates a reading in the Peterson et al. anthology [Materials listed in square brackets are optional]

Part I: "Reasonable Faith." The Very Idea.

WEEK ONE: *Fideism*

Jan. 10, 12

Handout: Pessimism about Argument; Sheiman, An Atheist Defends Religion, ch. 2; The Upanişads (excerpts); Lao-Tzu, Tao-te Ching (excerpts); Nāgārjuna, Mūlamadhyamaka-kārikā (excerpts); Handout: A few kōans from Chán/Zen Buddhism; Thich Nhat Hanh, "Getting Rid of our Concepts" [^PThe Upanişads, "Atman is Brahman;" Diener & Tay, "The Religion Paradox: If Religion Makes People Happy, Why are So Many Dropping Out?]

WEEK TWO: More Fideism

Jan. 17, 19 Pseudo-Dionysius, *Mystical Theology*; Azriel of Gerona, *Commentary on the Sefirot* (excerpt); Ibn Arabī, *Al-Futūḥāt al-Makkiyya* (excerpt); Meister Eckhart, selections from the *Sermons*; Kierkegaard, *Concluding Unscientific Postscript* (excerpt) [^PMaimonides, "Negative Theology;" ^PEvans, "Critical Dialogue in Philosophy of Religion"]

Part II: Reasons for Belief.

WEEK THREE: Cosmological Arguments

Jan. 24, 26 ^PAquinas, "The Classical Cosmological Argument;" ^PCraig, "The Kalam Cosmological Argument" Draper, "Critique of the Kalam Cosmological Argument" (excerpts) *Short Essay due Jan. 24*

WEEK FOUR: Teleological Arguments

Jan. 31, Feb. 2 ^PPaley, "The Analogical Teleological Argument;" ^PHume, "Critique of the Analogical Teleological Argument;" Collins, "The Anthropic Teleological Argument;" Swinburne, "The Argument from Design"

WEEK FIVE: More Teleology

Feb. 7, 9 Swinburne (cont'); Aquinas, "Whether God is Altogether Simple?;" Ruse, "Reply to Peterson" (excerpt)

WEEK SIX: Pragmatic Arguments

Feb. 14, 16Pascal, "The Wager;" PJames, "The Will to Believe;" O'Malley, Meeting
the Living God (excerpt) [Pojman, "Faith, Hope, and Doubt"]

Part III: Reasons for Disbelief.

WEEK SEVEN: Science contra Religion Feb. 21, 23 Worrall, "Science Discredits Religion;" Frances, "The Atheist Argument from Outrageousness" [^PGould, "Two Separate Domains"] *First In-Class Exam on Feb. 21*

WEEK EIGHT: More Science

Feb. 28; Mar. 2 Worrall, Frances (cont'); ^PHume, "The Evidence for Miracles is Weak;" [^PDennett, "An Evolutionary Account of Religion;" Freud, *The Future of an Illusion* (excerpt)]

WEEK NINE: The Problem of Evil

Mar. 7 Kushner, Intro and ch.1 of *When Bad Things Happen to Good People*; ^pMackie, "Evil and Omnipotence;" ^pHume, "Evil Makes a Strong Case against God's Existence" [Norland, "Congo: More Vicious than Rape;" ^pRowe, "The Evidential Argument from Evil"]
Mar. 9 Ghaly, "Evil and Suffering in Islam;" ^pLeibniz, "Best of All Possible Worlds Theodicy" [^pPlantinga, "The Free Will Defense;" ^pHick, "Soul-Making Theodicy;" Madden & Hare, "Critique of Hick's Theodicy;" Kaufman, "Karma, Rebirth, and the Problem of Evil"]

WEEK TEN: More Evil

Mar. 14, 16 ^PMarylin McCord Adams, "Horrendous Evil and the Goodness of God;" Kushner, ch. 8 of *WBTHTGP* (excerpts) [Sharon Street, "If Everything Happens for a Reason, then We Don't Know What Reasons Are;" Dostoyevsky, "Rebellion" and "The Grand Inquisitor"]

WEEK ELEVEN

Mar. 21, 23 NO CLASS (Spring Break)

Part IV: Philosophical Buddhism.

WEEK TWELVE

Mar. 28, 30	Handout: Buddhism as Non-Dogmatic; Wright, "Note to Readers;" Thich
	Nhat Hanh, "The Buddha's Heart," "The Three Jewels," "The Raft is Not
	the Shore," "Our True Home," "Happy Continuation," and "The Flower is
	Still Blooming;" [Wright, ch. 16 of Why Buddhism is True]
	Second In-Class Exam on Mar. 28

WEEK THIRTEEN

Apr. 4, 6Handout: Well-Being in the Sutta Piţaka; Thich Nhat Hanh, "Sunshine
and Green Leaves," "The Sun of Awareness," "Mental Formations,"
"Transforming Anger," and "Mind of Compassion;" Gunaratana, ch. 1 of
Mindfulness in Plain English (excerpt) [Duron, "19 Science-Backed
Reasons to Meditate;" Videos: Kavita Maharaj, "Simple Meditation" and
"Vipassana Meditation"]

WEEK FOURTEEN

Apr. 11, 13Handout: Further selections from the Sutta Pițaka; The Heart Sūtra; Thich
Nhat Hanh, "Interbeing," "Roses and Garbage," and "Interpenetration"
[Wright, chs. 1 and 5 of Why Buddhism is True]

WEEK FIFTEEN Apr. 18, 20

Thich Nhat Hanh, "The Fourteen Mindfulness Trainings of the Order of Interbeing," "The Five Mindfulness Trainings," and "The Path of Transformation;" Handout: Buddhist Political Philosophy

Final project due during finals week (Exact date/time TBA).